

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

10 LORENZO BROOKS,

11 Petitioner,

No. 2:10-cv-0450 JFM (HC)

12 vs.

13 ARNOLD SCHWARZENEGGER, et al.,

14 Respondents.

ORDER

15 \_\_\_\_\_/  
16 Petitioner, a county prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed an application for a writ  
17 of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner has neither paid the \$5.00 filing fee  
18 for this action nor filed an application to proceed in forma pauperis pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.

19 Petitioner is incarcerated in Riverside County Jail and was convicted in Riverside  
20 County. Riverside County is in an area embraced by the United States District Court for the  
21 Central District of California.

22 Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d), courts in both the district of conviction and the  
23 district of confinement have concurrent jurisdiction over applications for habeas corpus filed by  
24 state prisoners. Because petitioner was not convicted in this district, and is not presently  
25 confined here, this court does not have jurisdiction to entertain the application.

26 /////

1           Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United  
2 States District Court for the Central District of California. 28 U.S.C. § 2241(d); 28 U.S.C.  
3 § 1406(a).

4 DATED: March 8, 2010.

5  
6   
7 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

8 12/mp  
9 broo0450.108b